PATENT COOPERATION TREATY(

From the: INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY PCT Madderns 1st Floor Wolf Blass House WRITTEN OPINION OF THE 64 Hindmarsh Square INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY **ADELAIDE SA 5000** (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) 7 ΔPR 2005 FOR FURTHER ACTION Applicant's or agent's file reference See paragraph 2 below 25390 WGM International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) International application No. 24 March 2004 PCT/AU2005/000418 24 March 2005 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC Int. Cl. 7 H04N 5/64, 5/645; A47B 81/06 Applicant VAFIADIS, Philip This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Basis of the opinion Box No. I Box No. II Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. III Lack of unity of invention Box No. IV Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; Box No. V citations and explanations supporting such statement Certain documents cited Box No. VI Certain defects in the international application Box No. VII Certain observations on the international application Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Authorized Officer Name and mailing address of the IPEA/AU AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE PO BOX 200, WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRALIA JUZER KHANBHAI E-mail address: pct@ipaustralia.gov.au Telephone No. (02) 6283 2176 Facsimile No. (02) 6285 3929

International application No.

PCT/AU2005/000418

Box	No. I	Basis of the opinion
1.	With regar	d to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in as filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	the fo	opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into Illowing language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of lational search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2	With regar claimed in	d to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the vention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. type of	material
		sequence listing
	ш	able(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. format	of material
	<u> </u>	n written format
	ш	n computer readable form filing/furnishing
		contained in the international application as filed.
		iled together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	filed of	dition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Additional	comments:
7.	Additional	
L		

International application No.

PCT/AU2005/000418

	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
1. Statement					
Nove	elty (N)	Claims 1-19	YES		
		Claims -	NO		
Inver	ntive step (IS)	Claims -	YES		
		Claims 1-19	NO		
Indus	strial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-19	YES		
		Claims	NO		

2. Citations and explanations:

INVENTIVE STEP (IS) claims 1-19

- WO 1994/017637 A1
- WO 1993/016578 A1

Claim 1: The above citations disclose all the features except the feature of a channel behind the facing plane. However, it would be obvious to a person skilled in the art to provide a space behind the arrangement for wires to be neatly placed. Hence, this feature merely amounts to common general knowledge and thus do not involve an inventive step.

Claims 2-19: The features added by these claims merely amount to common general knowledge and hence do not involve an inventive step.

Also, see the indication contained in Box VI "Certain documents cited".

International application No.

PCT/AU2005/000418

Box No. VI Certain documents of	rited						
1. Certain published documents (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.10)							
Application No. Patent No.	Publication date (day/month/year)	Filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (valid claim) (day/month/year)				
P,X US 6802575 B1	12 October 2004	5 September 2002	5 September 2002				
		•					
		·					
This document discloses all the features of the claim 1 except that there is no indication in the document of using the rack modularly ie using the racks side by side. However, this feature merely amounts to common general knowledge and hence do not involve an inventive step.							
Similarly the features added by claims 2-19 are common general knowledge and hence do not involve an inventive step.							
2. Non-written disclosures (Rules 43b)	is.1 and 70.9)						
Kind of non-written disclosure		written disclosure nonth/year) re	Date of written disclosure ferring to non-written disclosure (day/month/year)				
•			·				
·							
		•					

International application No.

PCT/AU2005/000418

Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application					
The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:						
described in	a) Claim 1 is not supported by the description because it does not define the "channel" behind the facing plane as described in figure 14. From the claim it seems to read as though the channel is in the module and going from the front plane towards the back face.					

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. VIII) (January 2004)